Zero-Trust: Beyond the Buzzword to Practical Implementation

Abdullah Al Ghassani- Cyber Security Specialist

Secure now, Secure Forever





by ODP

- Understanding Zero-Trust Concepts
- The Modern Enterprise Network Model
- Adopting Zero Trust: Drivers, Outcomes and Challenges
- The ZTA Maturity Model
 - A Journey Towards Optimal Zero Trust
 - Assessing Zero-Trust in a Cyber Supply Chain
 - Zero-Trust and the Role of SOC

Understanding Zero-Trust Concepts

What is Zero Trust ?

Zero trust provides a collection of concepts and ideas designed to minimize uncertainty in enforcing accurate, least privilege per-request access decisions in information systems and services in the face of a network viewed as compromised.



Understanding Zero-Trust Concepts

What is Zero Trust Architecture (ZTA) ?

ZTA is an enterprise's cybersecurity plan that uses zero trust concepts and encompasses component relationships, workflow planning, and access policies. Therefore, a zero trust enterprise is the network infrastructure (physical and virtual) and operational policies that are in place for an enterprise as a product of a ZTA plan.



Understanding Zero-Trust Concepts





| Adopting Zero Trust: Drivers, Outcomes and Challenges | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Drivers | Outcomes | Challenges | | | | | |
| The Rapid Pace of Digitisation and movement toward Dynamic Work Environments | Architecture and Governance Contextually-aware, simpler and dynamic enterprise security architecture | Embracing Change Zero-Trust must be supported by a Dynamic and Agile Cyber Organization | | | | | |
| Increasingly Complex Network Architecture and Supply Chain Activities | Security Operations Predictive Monitoring and Automated Response | Integrating Solutions Lack of Zero-Trust standards raises integration challenges between technologies | | | | | |
| Adversaries are more Sophisticated and are outmatching current Cyber Defences | Policy Management and Integrations Centralised Security Policy Management and Dynamic Policy Enforcement | Setting up Zero-Trust Governance Establishing a Zero-Trust Standard Requires a Security and IAM Mindset | | | | | |

The Zero-Trust Architecture Maturity Model

A Journey Towards Optimal Zero Trust

cyber security

park

by ODP

1.Optimal:

- 1. Fully automated lifecycles and attribute assignments.
- 2. Dynamic policies based on observed triggers.
- 3. Dynamic least privilege access enterprise-wide.
- 4. Continuous monitoring with cross-pillar interoperability.

2.Advanced:

- 1. Automated controls for lifecycle and configuration assignment.
- 2. Centralized visibility and identity control.
- 3. Policy enforcement integrated across pillars.
- 4. Response to predefined mitigations.

3.Initial:

- 1. Beginning automation of attribute assignment and lifecycle configuration.
- 2. Initial integration of external systems for policy enforcement.
- 3. Some responsive changes to least privilege post-provisioning.
- 4. Aggregated visibility for internal systems.

4.Traditional:

- 1. Manual configuration of lifecycles and attribute assignments.
- 2. Static security policies addressing one pillar at a time.
- 3. Least privilege established only during provisioning.
- 4. Siloed policy enforcement and manual response/mitigation.

Benchmarking your Zero-Trust Maturity

| | | Initial | Advanced | Optimal | |
|---------|-----------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------|
| | Identities | MFA with Passwords Self-Managed & Hosted Identity Stores Manual Identity Risk Assessments | Phishing-resistant MFA Consolidation and Secure Integration of Identity Stores Automated Identity Risk Assessment | Continuous Validation and Risk Analysis Enterprise-wide identity integration Tailored Automated Access | Visibility & Analytics |
| | Devices | Physical Assets are tracked Limited device based access control Some Automated Protection | Most Physical & Virtual Assets are tracked Enforced compliance integrated with threat protection | Continuous physical & virtual asset and automated supply chain risk management. Resource access on real-time device analytics | |
| * | Network | Initial Isolation of Critical workloads Dynamic configurations for some portions of the network | Expanded isolation and resilience Mechanisms Automated risk-aware application profile assessments | Distributed micro-perimeters with just-in-time and just-enough access controls Cryptography Agility best practice | Automation & Orchestration |
| | Applications & Workloads | Some mission critical workflows have integrated protections Static and Dynamic security testing prior to deployment | All mission critical workflows are integrated with security and context based access control Coordinated teams for development, security and Ops | Protection Against Sophisticated attacks Immutable workloads with security testing integrated throughout lifecycle | Governance |
| | Data | Initial Centralized key management Some High Availability Data Stores Initial Data Strategy | Automated Data Inventory with Tracking Consistent, tiered targeted categorization of Data | Continuous Data Inventorying Automated Data Categorization DLP exfiltration blocking Real Time Data Encryption | |

Assessing Zero-Trust in a Cyber Supply Chain

| | | Initial | Advanced | Optimal | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | Identities | Is there a cyber supply chain risk management policy in place ? | Is there an inventory of suppliers ? Is it possible to verify the authenticity of supply chain components ? | Is the cyber supply chain risk management plan updated frequently based on automatically collected inputs ? | |
| | Applications & Workloads | Is there an access policy considering cyber supply chain aspects ? | Is information about maintenance shared taking into consideration aspects of a Zero Trust architecture ? | Are cyber supply chain maintenance activities automated ? Infrastructure Are the maintenances continuously monitored ? | |
| | Data | Is there a cyber supply chain information integrity policy in place ? | Do information integrity mechanisms take into account insider threats such as equipment infected by malwares, e.g. ransomware attacks ? | Are techniques implemented to ensure non-repudiation of cyber supply chain information ? | |
| * | Network | Is there a policy in place to protect communications used in the cyber supply chain ? | Are communications protected in several heterogeneous layers considering possible failures in some mechanisms? | Are the communication protection mechanisms being continuously monitored and adapted ? | |
| | Devices | Is there a contingency plan for the cyber supply chain ? Is there a physical and environmental protection policy in place ? | Is physical access segregated by roles ? Is there a protection against modifications? | Is the organization able to provide alternative services considering aspects of a Zero Trust architecture ? | |

cyber security park

The Modern Enterprise Network Model 00 CSPM **Unmanaged Internet** Office 365 ORACLE CASB ŜÏ ® **BYOD** CWPP Modernized plesk 🌅 aws **Business-2-Business ZTNA** Threats Network **Bisness-2-Client** UAP ┍╏╏ **Business Critical Segment** DAP Sensitive Business Units/Apps MFA High Impact IoT / OT EDR Managed and 4. IoT/OT Devices with High **Authenticated Devices** Security **Business Risk** Operation Low Impact IoT / OT Centre Printers, VOIP Phones, etc. **Managed Internet** Legacy Systems Segment **EoL Applications / Servers** </> SIEM PAM SOAR WAF UEBA XDR FW/WAF RBI Micro Managed CORP DAM DLP SWG RBI Segmentation cyber security park

by ODP

Secure now, Secure Forever

 1
 0
 1
 1
 0
 0
 1
 0
 1
 0
 1
 1
 0
 0
 1
 1
 1
 0
 0
 1
 1
 1
 0
 1
 1
 1
 1
 0
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1

cyber security park by ODP **Thank You**